

Scoring Rubric for Level 2 Case Presentation

Level of Achievement	Excellent 4 points	Good 3 points	Marginal 2 points	Inadequate 1 points
Quality/Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well thought out with logical progression • Use of proper language • Significance clearly stated • Content level appropriate for audience • Abstract and bibliography are well constructed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Talk easy to follow • Use of proper language • Significance clearly stated • Content level not always appropriate • Abstract and/or bibliography have some errors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Talk somewhat disorganized • Shows some effort to use proper language • Significance somewhat unclear • Includes some irrelevant content and inappropriate content level • Abstract and bibliography are not well constructed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Talk difficult to follow • Unclear language • Does not understand significance of work • Inadequate content • Abstract and bibliography lack proper content and construction
Understanding of Neuro-Optometric Content & Use of Multidisciplinary Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identifies the research case/research field • Has advanced understanding of the approach and significance • Critically evaluates results, methodology and conclusions • Scientifically rigorous and well researched 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identifies the research case/research field • Has basic understanding of the approach and significance • Limited evaluation of results, methodology and conclusions • Well researched 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research case/research field somewhat unclear • Description of approach somewhat confusing • Results and conclusions stated but not critically evaluated • Does not integrate outside readings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not understand the research case • Does not understand the approach • Does not understand conclusions or recognize implications for future work

Style/Delivery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses time wisely • Speaks with good pacing and enthusiasm • Makes eye contact and does not read information • Uses engaging tone and appropriate vocabulary 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Speaks well, but often repeats comments • Exhibits few disfluencies (“ahs”, “uhms”, etc.) • Makes eye contact • Uses good vocabulary and tone 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation poorly timed • Some hesitation and uncertainty are apparent • Exhibits many disfluencies • Makes little eye contact and looks at notes • Monotone and non-engaging delivery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation poorly timed • Makes no eye contact and reads from notes • Hesitation and uncertainty are very apparent • Speaks too quietly or quickly for audience to hear and understand
Use of Visual Aids	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tables/graphs summarize data and/or conclusions • Size and labels are clear • Appropriate text • Figures and images explained and described well • Presentation has no misspellings or grammatical errors • Checks in on time for AV set up 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Text appropriately sized • Very little text • Most figures and images explained and described well • Presentation has an occasional misspelling or grammatical error • Checks in on time for AV set up 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Labels and legends somewhat unclear • Text size somewhat small • Too much detail on slides • Blocks of text on slides • Figures are explained • Presentation has multiple misspellings and/or grammatical errors • Does not check in on time but AV mishaps resolved 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Labeling is not clear • Size is too small to see • No logical placement of information • Mostly text and very few images • Figures are not explained • Presentation has numerous misspellings and/or grammatical errors • Does not check in on time for AV set up • AV mishaps unresolved
Ability to Answer Questions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anticipates audience questions • Understands audience questions • Can integrate knowledge to answer questions • Thoroughly responds to questions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not anticipate audience questions • Understands audience questions • Can integrate knowledge to answer questions • Thoroughly responds to most questions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not anticipate audience questions • Makes an effort to address question • Can address some questions • Often responds poorly to questions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Either makes no effort to respond to questions or does so poorly